

NO Due Process Timeline in Oregon Justice System

Date prepared: 6-17-2025

1994 November "Be in enacted by the People of Oregon: SECTION 1. For purposes of campaigning for an elected public office, a candidate may use or direct ONLY contributions which originate from individuals who at the time of their donations were residents of the Electoral District of the public office sought by the candidate....."

1995 Ballot Measure 6 and Measure 9 passed overwhelmingly by Oregonians put on the ballot by Citizen ballot initiative petition. (Concern was that Big Money from outside Oregon's borders and Outside Countries to determine the outcome of our OREGON elections.)

This created OREGON CONSTITUTION, ARTICLE II Section 22 (Campaign Finance Laws)

Violations: "**UNCLASSIFIED FELONIES**"

1997 OREGON STATE CONSTITUTION Art. 2 Section 22, Measure 6 & 9 were challenged at OR CC and OR SC.

OREGONIAN'S DUE PROCESS and FEDERAL CIVIL RIGHTS were Ignored. VOTES & Freedom of Speech within Oregon were compromised.

Most Candidates from all parties continue to violate Oregon Constitution Article 2 sec 22, especially in URBAN areas.

1998 - KEISLING challenged Oregonians to overturn the OREGON Constitution. (OR State Democrat Party President MARC ABRAMS Lawyer for KEISLING) (2025 Assistant OR AG)

Keisling appealed ART II Sec 22 D.C. No.CV-94-01541-JO, NOS. 95-35999)

Went to: **United Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, Vannetta v. Keisling, 151 F.3d 1215 (9th Cir. 1998)**

Nov 14th, 2019, Multnomah County vs Allan Mehrwein (Or. CC,17CV18006, Or. CA A168205, Or. SC S066445), 462 P3d 706.

Nov 2022 Donice Noelle Smith was Gubertorial Candidate along with Kotek, Drazen Johnson and Noble. Only SMITH and NOBLE did not violate OREGON Constitution (Measure 6) ART II Sec 22.

2023- 2024 Bellard and Smith go before Judge Edmonds OR CC Judge in case of Bellard vs. Shemia Fagen. MARC ABRAMS was Opposing Attorney representing Sec. of State (Fagan). **Case Number: S070942.**

Feb. 21st and March 6th, 2025, Quo Warrantos filed. SMITH vs Kotek with Paige Clarkson Marion County District Attorney. Quo Warrantos sent by Clarkson to SOS Tobias Reed, MARC ABRAMS (assistant AG) & OR AG Dan Rayfield.

Tobias Reed (SOS) office response is that its part "Oregon Constitution but will not be enforced, only another Oregonian Ballot Measure can remove it from the OR Constitution." District Attorney Paige Clarkson (Marion County /Salem) said in writing "No Basis in Law". Both Reed and Clarkson per

ORESTAR Campaign Financing Reporting System, show that they also violated OR Constitution Art. II Section 22 – Campaign Finance Law of Oregon voted by the People.

March 18, 2025, Writ of Quo Warranto filed with Oregon Supreme Court (amended March 27, April 14) Desmond BALLARD vs US Senator Ronald WYDEN, **Case Number: S071813**

APRIL 17, 2025, Writ of Quo Warranto filed with Oregon Supreme Court, DENESA RAINS vs US House Representative Val Hoyle, **Case Number: S071904.**

APRIL 17, 2025, Writ of Quo Warranto filed with Oregon Supreme Court, DONICE N. SMITH vs Christine "Tina" KOTEK (Acting Governor of Oregon) -**Case Number S071902**

May 5, 2025 Corrected Excerpt Record filed (**No Response from Kotek at least 4 times**, KOTEK last given to respond to Or. SC, by 19 May was Silence)

20 May 2025 - Oregon Supreme Court Head Justice Meagan Flynn, "Denied petition for Writ "Quo Warranto" for both Rains and Smith, **No Reasons given by Judge.** No recourse given. **No Answering to constituents by Hoyle, Wyden or Kotek,** No OR SC Judge Responding to Amicus briefs sent by Numerous Oregonians, Website created for public opinion and info to send amicus brief to courts - **www.oregonians.org**. Pro se Filers (Denesa Rains, Desmond Bellard, And Donice Smith have been violated against our Civil Rights (our Due Process of airing our grievances to our Oregon State Government)
RAINS vs Hoyle & SMITH vs Kotek (Denial letter from OR SC Justice Meagan Flynn)

JUNE 3, 2025, both petitioners, Donice Smith and Denesa Rains submitted a motion for reconsideration to the Oregon Supreme Court. Note: OR SC Meagan Flynn also violated Oregon Constitution (Measure 6/ ART II Sec 22 while running for office) as did Marion Co DA's PAIGE CLARKSON and Multnomah Co. DA Nathan VASQUEZ.

June 5th, 2025, Oregon Supreme Court Justice Clerk sent A letter of deficiency, that we did not include a denial letter from Judge Flynn in our filing

JUNE 17th, 2025 "AMENDED AND CORRECTED Motion for Reconsideration, with Flynn's Denial Letter", was filed with the OR SC. Interesting enough, both Rains and Smith Received letters from OR SC denying request to recuse or disqualify Supreme Court Justice Meagan Flynn, even though Rains was the only one to request this action in her Original Motion before it was Corrected (refiled). Justice Rebessa Duncan did this on Judge Flynn's behalf. Note: Meagan Flynn Violated Campaign Finance Laws.

JUNE 21, 2025: No Response to "Amended and Corrected (with Denial Letter) Motion for Reconsideration" for RAINS and SMITH, at this time.

Presented & prepared by Donice Smith, Denesa Rains, and Desmond Bellard